

Neves

6N124
1922

RICHARD J. NEVES

6N124
1922

The American Midland Naturalist

PUBLISHED BI-MONTHLY BY THE UNIVERSITY
OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, INDIANA

VOL. VIII. JANUARY, 1922. NO. 1.

Final Report on the Study and Appraisal of Mussel Resources in Selected Areas of the Upper Mississippi River.*

BY N. M. GRIER, PH. D. †
Washington and Jefferson College, Washington, Pa.

I. INTRODUCTION.

During the months of July and August, 1920, the U. S. Bureau of Fisheries made a study and appraisal of the mussel resources of the Mississippi River in and between the areas commencing at a point about five miles above Red Wing, Minnesota, extending thence through Lake Pepin, and ending nearly 80 miles down stream at La Motte, Minn. More exact boundaries for the areas will be indicated hereafter. The work carried on was with reference to recent administrative action on the part of the states of Wisconsin and Minnesota, which provided for the closure of certain of these areas for the protection of the fresh water mussels, as well as for areas to remain subject to fishery. From the data acquired in this investigation, it is expected to establish a basis for comparison of conditions in the present and after a period of protection.

II. GENERAL CONDITIONS IN THE AREAS.

While usually attaining a depth of from 5-20 ft. within the limits of the state of Minnesota, the river at the time of this investigation was in a flood stage of from 3-9 ft. One apparent effect of the latter condition was to increase the exposure of the mussel shoals, as in general the upper beds of the shell beds are often determined by the deeper water of the channel. The fall of the river is greater near La Motte than

* Published with the cooperation of the U. S. Bureau of Fisheries, Washington, D. C. and the University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Ind. The author is indebted to the following persons for their assistance during this investigation: Messrs. J. P. Meehan and W. M. Meehan, La Motte, Minn.

† Assistant.

2 THE AMERICAN MIDLAND NATURALIST

above it, resulting in a more rapid current in the former region, which is accelerated by the pressure of the impounded waters of the lake. Where snags are abundant on the bottom, this current is strong enough to make mussel fishing with crowfoot bars somewhat dangerous.

The bottom of the river within the areas is for the most part composed of fairly coarse gravel mixed with varying proportions of mud and sand. The latter increase perceptibly in the vicinity of the tributary streams of the region, the principal entering streams being the Cannon River at Red Wing, the Chippewa at Read's Landing, the Zumbro near Wabasha, Minn., and the Trempealeau below Winona, Minn. The Chippewa is principally responsible for the enormous quantities of sand brought into these areas discussed below Lake Pepin. Only through the construction of wing dams have the Government engineers been able to preserve a navigable channel. These dams are designed to catch the sand near mid-stream, deflecting it toward the shore where it ultimately forms sand bars or islands, but unfortunately smothering at the same time the valuable clam beds which formerly existed in the Mississippi below Lake Pepin, with the consequent discouragement of the clamming industry. In the opinion of some engineers, it appears practicable to terrace the banks of the Chippewa near Lake Pepin in such a way as to divert the sand to fill up the low lying country nearby, but a better preventative would be the reforestation of the Chippewa drainage basin. The conservation of the national mussel resources in thus seen to be closely related to other problems of national economy.

III. STATUS OF THE MUSSEL FISHERIES IN RELATION TO THE PRECEDING.

That stretch of the river about five miles northwest of Red Wing in the vicinity of Diamond Island, and which is known to old-time clammers as the Trenton Bed, is apparently but little worked, although our observations indicate that such might be probably undertaken as it is in an area subject to fishery. At Red Wing itself the summer, of the survey, three clammers were observed. They reported that their returns seemed to be diminishing, although in past years this immediate section was considered one of the best on the river.

As will be observed in the accompanying tables, certain stretches, commercial as well as non-commercial, have been abandoned out of this as well as other localities, some trace of them always being found in the piles of shells observed along the bank, or old clammers remembered collecting them in the vicinity.

Within the area studied, the clamming center of the river is in that part of Lake Pepin between Lake City and Pepin, as in late years the profitable downstream limit of the mussel fishery in these areas has been found to be the outlet of Lake Pepin about 1½ miles northwest of Read's Landing in an area which has since been closed to clamming. Lake Pepin furnishes most of the shells from the areas considered, but its clam resources appear to be attracting but few fishermen. Where in 1914, 100 rigs were observed in operation on the lake, hardly more than 15 were working in the summer of 1920. However, it is stated on good authority, (a), that about 200 tons of mussel shells, with an average valuation of from \$50 to \$55 per ton had their source in this region. The best pearl found sold for \$750, a half dozen others brought from \$100 to \$175.

South of Lake Pepin during August, 1920, but two other clammers were seen, one rig at Winona, Minn., and a solitary clammer working with a fork in the nearby Straight Slough, obtaining only scanty returns. Piles of dead shells on the banks of the latter indicated the former abundance of the mussel fauna. At one time there existed extensive mussel beds at or near Wabasha, Minn., Teepeeota Point, (about 4 miles downstream from the former); near Alma and Fountain City, Wis.; Minneiska and Winona, Minn. The party found greater or smaller remnants of these formerly worked beds. They appear to be composed of old and sometimes gigantic shells, with an absolute dearth of younger ones. This would indicate that the beds are not being maintained, even if bottom conditions generally are favorable for mussel life. If it were found practicable to restock such beds with juvenile shells, such beds might readily regenerate. Reasons commonly assigned within the last mentioned regions for the de-

(a) A well informed manufacturer of shells near the city of Winona, Minn. has been between 200-250 tons of shells collected on the St. Paul River between St. Paul and Winona during 1919 and in 1920. This is the only one of the clamming industry, we believe, that is of any real importance. The quantity taken from Lake Pepin and close vicinity.

pletion of the mussels resources, and the consequent decline of the clamming industry may be given:

1. The smothering of the mussel beds by sand deflected by the dams has been previously indicated. Additionally it may be stated that the increased current thereby insured has the probable effect of sweeping juveniles just dropped from fish long distances down stream, or to lodge them upon the sand bars, where later they may be covered up.

Within the limitations of the apparatus used in this investigation, it was not found practicable to estimate quantitatively the extent of the mussel beds smothered in this fashion. Under such circumstances, the dead shells were difficult to collect by the prevalent method of clamming. To indicate that such beds were formerly extensive are the statements of fishermen as corroborated by the observation of government engineers. Mention is made further on of those beds which came under the party's observation.

2. Destructive fishing methods formerly in use, such as taking very young shells, deliberately clamming out beds, or fishing with the shoulder rake, are also responsible. The party found evidence of the latter in most of the beds last mentioned. However, favorable sentiment toward respecting this part of the protective laws is widely prevalent.

3. The growth of formerly extensive mussel beds near communities situated along the river has been inhibited by the pernicious practice of dumping rubbish of somewhat indestructible nature in the river at those points. While state laws are also clear upon this point, the enforcement of them seems largely a matter of local sentiment.

The remnants of the clam beds at Alma, Fountain City, and Minneiska, appear to be the ones affected in this way. The appraisal work here was unusually difficult due to the fouling of the collecting apparatus on such obstructions as slag, old iron, etc., in the water. From the economic standpoint, the least which may be granted is that such rubbish has the effect of rendering the mussels but difficultly accessible to the fisherman, and he is inclined to let such beds alone. Such mussel resources are therefore not utilized. On the other hand, it is recognized that materials such as sand, coal,

cinders, ashes, logs, decaying wood, bark, sawdust, as were often encountered, have a distinctly injurious effect upon fish when dumped into the water. This is important, when it be remembered that fish carry the larval young of the mussels about with them. Such a combination may account for the scarcity of young shells in the beds, or tend to render the environment a more difficult one to combat, whatever be the more direct reason.

As the situation is today, the sand bars created by the dams may, following certain conditions such as flood or drought, harbor mussels in sufficient abundance as to make their taking by hand fairly profitable. Characteristically abundant and commercial species found on such sand bars are the three-ridge and blue-point, (*Quadrula olicata* and *undulata*), pig-toe, (*Quadrula undata*), pocketbook, (*Lampsilis ventricosa*), with lesser quantities of the pimple back, (*Quadrula pustulosa*), hickory nut, (*Obovaria ellipsis*), and monkey face, (*Quadrula metanera*). Most of these shells, especially the pocket books, were of an excellent quality for button making.

In many cases the mussels, especially the younger ones, inhabiting the sand bars, were observed to be dying in large numbers as the result of their inability to move with the water as it fell from the flood stages. The utilization of the mussel resources below Lake Pepin therefore seems to demand that the mussels be taken from the sandbars by hand when desirable for commercial purposes, and that the stranded animals be given the conserving care such as fish receive in rescue work. By way of experiment, the party stocked two sections of an area in which mussels were nearly absent, but in which conditions seemed quite favorable, with younger shells of various species, collected from the sand bars, where, by the way, shells are more abundantly taken by hand than from the bottom by crowfoot bars.

While the sloughs are dammed off from the river for the ultimate purpose of draining them, they are as rich, if not richer in mussels than the main river. Excellent examples of sloughs with a richer fauna are the Belvedere and Straight Sloughs, and the West Newton Chute. In all these, shells were collected by hand or by crowfoot bars. Work

with the latter in deeper portions of Straight Slough and West Newton Chute in their lower stretches, revealed the fact that young beds of shells of commercial quality were flourishing under conditions which might be favorable for restocking, despite the ultimately desired effect of the dams, as the sloughs are to some extent fed with local drainage. Piles of shells at these points indicated the extent of former clamming operations. Certain species, such as the bull head, (*Platrobema aescopus*), as we collected it, apparently find their most congenial environment here. Shells collected from the sloughs appear to have brighter colors and a finer grain than those secured from the river proper.

When the preceding data on general conditions in the areas and the status of the mussel fisheries are considered in entirety, it becomes evident that before the mussel resources of these areas can be improved, some practicable basis must be determined, from which measures looking forward to the protection and the improvement of the mussel resources can be inaugurated. This is all the more important when it is remembered that the states of Wisconsin and Minnesota are pioneers in the legislation for the protection of mussel resources, it is quite likely that other states will enact similar measures. The reason for this study and appraisal of mussel resources in certain areas of Wisconsin and Minnesota thus becomes clear.

IV. METHODS.

(a.) Description of outfit for collection of shells.

The work was approached from the standpoint of the mussel fisherman, a bar and crowfoot outfit being used to collect the shells. The outfit was towed from place to place by a Government Launch. In the areas above Lake Pepin, (I-VI. inc.) 100 hooks were attached to each of the 16-ft. bars. Below Lake Pepin, starting at Read's Landing, (areas VII-X. inclus.), the river bottom is heavily infested with snags, so the bars were shortened to 10 ft., with a consequent reduction in the number of hooks on each to 75. In the first named areas, the data presented represents the results of three trials of the same length of drag with the bars, (300 ft.) at each of the localities, (these to be checked five years hence), in-

dicated. In the remaining areas, the number of drags was increased from 3 to 4, to compensate for the reduction in the number of hooks, but otherwise the procedure was the same.

Additionally, the john-boat had at its bow, a hand windlass bearing 300 ft. of stout, ($\frac{3}{8}$ in.) line, to the free end of which an anchor was attached. In proceeding from locality to locality, the windlass was locked, and the boat towed by a separate piece of line. Two separate dredgings were also made of the bottom of the river in each locality, proceeding from the same initial point. The dredge used consisted of a heavy rectangular frame work of iron about 18 in. in length, 6 in. in breadth, at the mouth, and 6 in. in height. To it was fastened a large and very closely woven net with the capacity of about a bushel, and with meshes varying from 1-16 to $\frac{1}{8}$ in. The net was protected by a canvas cover attached at one end to the iron framework, and open at the other. Ropes, $\frac{1}{2}$ in. were attached to iron rings on the shorter side of the frame work, and these lead forward to a single rope 50 ft. in length, secured in the stern of the john-boat. The longer and outer edges of the iron framework were provided with coarse, triangularly shaped teeth, 4 in. in length, while the mouth was guarded by 4-5 stout iron wires, running vertically to the longer axis of the iron framework and spaced about 8 in. apart. The teeth provided for the dislodgement of shells and other materials from the bottom of the river, while the guards around the mouth prevented the ingress of very large gravel or other objects. Additionally, the length of rope with which it was attached, provided for reaching the greatest depth of bottom, while after the dredge was lifted, and the attached rope drawn in about 4-5 of its length, the launch could speed up, and thus most of the mud and sand obscuring the contents of the dredge washed away. By means of the dredge, a fair idea of conditions on the bottom of the particular locality could be determined. Such data would later be useful in connection with propagation experiments.

(b.) Collecting Mussels for study and appraisal.

When it was determined to appraise a particular locality, a starting point was determined by methods shortly to be described, the windlass and the tow-line were released, and

the launch going ahead unreeled the 300 ft. of line into the water until it was very nearly taut, when the operator of the launch dropped the anchor at the free end. For the purpose of temporarily anchoring the john-boat while the line was being payed out, one of the bars, usually that one to be placed to the rear, was at favorable opportunity, dropped into the water in such a way that it laid at right angles with the shore, and dragged parallel to it. When it had touched bottom, it was secured into position by means of props and by knotting its rope around one of the uprights. As shortly thereafter as the boat had swung into a favorable position as to render less liable the entangling of the hooks of the bars, the remaining bar was dropped and secured likewise.

After the anchor was dropped and the bars properly played, one of the two operators in the john-boat, windlassed the latter by slow and steady turns up to the point where the anchor had been dropped, observing from time to time the relative apparent motion of the shore line to make certain that snagging or fouling of the bars was not causing the john-boat to pull the anchor towards it in the meantime. When such was found to be the case, the bars were pulled up, the catch discarded, and a new trial made. When the john-boat had been properly windlassed up to the anchor, the latter and the bars were pulled up, the mussels taken off the hooks and thrown into a tub. The launch then towed the john-boat back to the original starting place, when this procedure was repeated twice again for each locality, the mussels obtained from the 3 trials being counted together. Dead shells obtained were not recorded.

The use of the dredge has already been indicated to some extent. Mature mussels caught in it were included among those obtained as previously described. The residue of the net was then examined. After the gravel and larger biological specimens which could be easily seen were removed by hand, the remainder was then screened in the water through sieves of varying fineness, until the juvenile mussels which were especially sought could be picked out by hand. Juveniles were also obtained from masses of water weed pulled up by the crowfoot bars and the dredge. The larger number of them

were obtained from the water weed, to which they were attached by their byssus thread. The dredge had the disadvantage of being apt to foul on some obstruction, and was besides very unwieldy, being apt to hinder the progress of the work. Juveniles taken were at once preserved in a mixture of 4 per cent formalin, 70 per cent alcohol and shell dust, the latter substance preventing erosion of the shell by the other chemicals. They were labelled, counted, and shipped to the Fairport Biological Station. The number obtained at each locality is expressed in parenthesis after the number of mature mussels obtained there; upon the sum of the two at any locality all calculations are made. Other biological specimens were at early opportunity shipped to the various specialists for identification, and the results of their work, which is here- by gratefully acknowledged, is comprised in the notes on the various areas.

(c). Determination of localities.

The course of the river was followed by means of a set of maps of the river published by the Mississippi River Commission, and by a copy of the current edition of the light list for the 13th Lighthouse District as in use by navigators on the river. The position of each locality surveyed is indicated on the maps used, which are now in possession of the U. S. Biological Station, Fairport, Iowa. On these maps, the localities are numbered according to area, and to the order in which they were examined. Thus 1-3 on the map indicates Area 1 and the third locality in it. Reference to the data to follow are to be similarly understood. As previously indicated, only the three best localities in each Area are reported upon.

It was not found practicable to draw into the maps these localities on an exact scale. The markings largely indicate the relative position of the locality with regard to the shore line at the time, the more absolute one being obtained by reference to the descriptive material given in connection with the markings on the maps. The more absolute data concerning the position of the locality was obtained by reference to some object or formation along the shore which seemed of a fairly permanent nature, such as the Government Lights or

Day Marks or other improvements along the river, ravines, elevation of adjacent hills, clumps of bushes, trestles, etc. The first 2 types of reference points were not used when anything better could be observed. The light list referred to gives the distances of these markers and lights from more accurately defined points such as bridges, etc. As data furnished by local clambers was sometimes found to be misleading, positions of productive shell beds were frequently determined by a trial drag with a single bar at varying distances from the shore, when, after encouraging results, the three consecutive drags with both bars were attempted. All beds of shells of fair extent which the party encountered are also indicated upon the maps mentioned.

Once the reference point was established, the distance across the water of the starting point of the drag from this was estimated independently by the three members of the party. The average taken of these distances is that one given in the descriptive material of the localities, and in case of great varieties in estimate, the more probable distance was verified by measurement of other points on the map within sight, and by the making of comparisons. A map case of the type used in the U. S. Army, provided with compass and transparent waterproof cover was found to be a convenient carrier for the maps in the field, where the localities could be promptly indicated. A leather-bound notebook of the type used by engineers was found to be serviceably adaptable for the recording of data. It should be borne in mind, however, that as the larger portion of the work was accomplished under conditions of high water, it was difficult to accurately estimate the position of the normal shore line, and the distances given are those of the position of the outfit from the nearest land above water at the time.

V. LIST OF SPECIES COLLECTED.

The following list embraces those species of mussels collected within the areas surveyed. Besides indicating the common name by which the mussels are known to clambers, the older scientific names of Simpson's Descriptive Catalogue of the Naiades are given, and their equivalent in the forth-

coming Pilsbry-Ortman-Walker nomenclature, which follows more closely the rules of modern zoological nomenclature.

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME
<i>Commercial Species</i>	After Ortman
Stiverhead	<i>Fucosia ebena</i> (Lea.)
Stiverhead	<i>Pleurobema catillus</i> (Con.)
Black Nut	<i>Obovaria olivaria</i> (Raf.)
Popple Back	<i>Quadrula pustulosa</i> (Lea.)
Manle Leaf	<i>Quadrula quadrata</i> (Raf.)
Monkey Face	<i>Quadrula metacava</i> (Raf.)
Female Pimple Back	<i>Rondanaria zranifera</i> (Lea.)
Three Horn Warty Back	<i>Oblivaria reflexa</i> (Raf.)
Pig Toe	<i>Fuscina reflexa</i> (Raf.)
Blue-Point	<i>Amblyma parviana</i> (Lea.)
Three-Ridge	<i>Amblyma costata</i> (Raf.)
Wash Board	<i>Mesodonis heros</i> (Say.)
Black Horn	<i>Quadrula verrucosa</i> (Raf.)
Market	<i>Actinonais caribaea</i> (Ducula)
Hiccin's Eye	<i>Lampsis bicinctii</i> (Lea.)
Lake Pepin Muebet	<i>Lampsis elliptica</i> (Barnes.)
Butterfly	<i>Placida lineolata</i> (Raf.)
Deer Toe	<i>Amysdonais parvata</i> (Raf.)
Peck Book	<i>Lampsis verticosa</i> (Barnes.)
Yellow Sand Shell	<i>Lampsis prodentifera</i> (Lea.)
Shoah Sand Shell	<i>Lampsis fallaxiosa</i> (Smith.)
Black Sand Shell	<i>Euryata recta</i> (Lea.)
Big Head	<i>Pleurobema acutum</i> (Raf.)
No Common Name	<i>Lampsis subcostata</i> (Say.)
White Hell Splitter	<i>Symphysia complanata</i> (Barnes.)
Tired Shell	<i>Symphysia costata</i> (Raf.)
Pink Heel Splitter	<i>Prostora alata</i> (Say.)
Rock Pocket Book	<i>Avicula confinis</i> (Say.)
Elephant Ear	<i>Elliptio niger</i> (Raf.)
Solite	<i>Unio gibbosus</i> (Raf.)
Ohio River Pig Toe (?)	<i>Pleurobema pyramidalum</i> (Lea.)
Ele Toe	<i>Alasmidona marginata</i> (Say.)
Sugar Spoon	<i>Placida dunniciformis</i> (Lea.)
NON-COMMERCIAL SPECIES *	
Snow Bucket	<i>Avicula grandis</i> (Say.)
Paper Shell	<i>Anodonta cuneolata</i> (Cooper)
Snow Foot	<i>Anodonta imbecillis</i> (Say.)
Paper Shells	<i>Symphysia edentulus</i> (Say.)
	<i>Lampsis arachis</i> (Raf.)
	<i>Lampsis larvissima</i> (Lea.)
Snuff Box	<i>Prostora lactissima</i> (Lea.)
Flaxer	<i>Carunculina parva</i> (Barnes.)
	<i>Truncella trapezeta</i> (Raf.)

VI. RESULTS.

These include data compiled upon the absolute and relative abundance of each species of mussel found in the areas appraised, together with such geographical or other information likely to be of use in expediting the rechecking of these results after a period of protection, or which might have a bearing upon propagation experiments. The number of shells of each species collected in each locality is given under the heading of the latter, and the percentage of this in the total catch in the locality is indicated. The average of the three percentages thus obtained for each species in each area is taken to

represent the relative abundance of that species in the area. An asterisk, (*), indicates that the species was found to be less than 1 per cent in the area and locality involved. A blank space opposite the name of a species indicates that living shells were not collected in either the area or the localities of it.

AREA I.

Boundaries; lower half of Diamond Island, Miss. R. to Red Wing, Minn., at High Bridge. Status, subject to fishery. Length in Linear Miles, 4.2. Physical Conditions, estimated 7-8 ft. high water. Current about 5 miles per hour. Bottom mostly gravel and sand. Middle sections of area infested with snags.

LOCALITIES REPORTED UPON. (ref. maps.)

I-1. Starting point of drags Govt. Day Mark 958-n near center of Diamond Island, 25 ft. from shore on Minnesota side of channel. July 7, 1920.

I-2. Starting point of drags Govt. Day Mark 958-k, (above island 23), Wisconsin side of channel, 30 ft. from shore, about 1/2 mile down stream from preceding locality.

I-6. Starting point of drags, 300 ft. upstream from Govt. Day Mark 958-g across the mouth of slough about 1 mile above Red Wing on Minnesota side, 50 ft. from mouth of slough. July 9, 1920.

NOTES ON AREA.

Certain species, as *E. dilatatus*, *B. granifera*, are at present

AREA I.

COMMERCIAL SPECIES *	Locality I-1		Locality I-2		Locality I-6		Average % Catch in 3 Localities
	No. Shells	% Total Catch	No. Shells	% Total Catch	No. Shells	% Total Catch	
<i>Fusconaja ebena</i>	7	1.5%	1	*	1	*	*
<i>Fusconaja caillius</i>	3	*	3	*	1	*	*
<i>Glovaria chivaria</i>	133	26%	141	27%	3	3%	21.8%
<i>Glovaria postulosa</i>	23	5%	7	*	1	*	2.5%
<i>Quadrula quadrata</i>	*	*	6	1.4%	1	*	*
<i>Quadrula metanerya</i>	7	1.5%	7	1.7%	*	*	1.6%
<i>Brundinia granifera</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Chilodonta reflexa</i>	10	2.2%	4	*	1	*	1.5%
<i>Fusconaja undata</i>	17	3.5%	3	*	*	*	*
<i>Ambicoma peruviana</i>	11	2.4%	14	3.4%	4	1.5%	1.5%
<i>Ambicoma costata</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Mecobornais baros</i>	10	2.2%	3	*	*	*	*
<i>Quadrula verrucosa</i>	9	2%	3	*	*	*	*
<i>Aethionais carinata</i>	26	5.9%	46	11.2%	12	4.7%	7.3%
<i>Lamprolaima bicinctus</i>	1	*	1	*	*	*	*
<i>Lamprolaima siliquoides</i>	18	4%	6	1.4%	32	12.5%	5.9%
<i>Phacelata lineolata</i>	17	3.8%	5	1.2%	*	*	1.6%
<i>Amygdalobas truncatus</i>	51	11.9%	44	10.9%	29	11.2%	11%
<i>Lamprolaima ventricosa</i>	*	*	3	2.1%	*	*	*
<i>Lamprolaima ardentoides</i>	1	*	*	*	4	*	*
<i>Lamprolaima fallaxiosa</i>	0	2%	16	3.9%	*	*	1.9%
<i>Erycinia recta</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Erycinia subeserata</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Plethobasus capbus</i>	1	4%	2	*	*	*	*
<i>Lamirona complanata</i>	18	4%	*	*	1	*	1.4%
<i>Lamirona costata</i>	23	5.2%	14	3.4%	*	*	2.8%
<i>Propora alata</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Amniculus confusus</i>	1	*	3	*	*	*	*
<i>Amniculus niger</i>	7	1.5%	6	1.4%	*	*	1%
<i>Ephippio dilatatus</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Pleurobema pyramidalium</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Akamshelenta marginata</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Amygdalobas donaciformis</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTALS	395	8.55%	335	7.7%	91	3.9%	6.9%

NON-COMMERCIAL SPECIES *

<i>Apollonia grandis</i>	1	*	12	2.9%	8	1.9%	6.3%
<i>Apollonia corollata</i>	12	2.7%	23	5.3%	49	19.7%	10.5%
<i>Strophitus edentatus</i>	11	6%	4	*	3	1.9%	4.3%
<i>Leptodesca frazilalis</i>	17	3.8%	15	3.6%	34	13.6%	7%
<i>Propora laevissima</i>	17	4%	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Campodonta parva</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Lamprolaima</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTALS	47	10.5%	62	15.8%	141	55.4%	28.2%
TOTALS ALL SHELLS	442	97.1%	408	90.3%	235	90.3%	91.3%

claimed out of this area. Two large piles of these shells, said to have come from this region were observed in Lake Pepin. Indications are that this area constitutes a metropolis

for *O. olivaria*, although the largest shells of this species were obtained at Wabasha. *A. corpeleata* of the river at this point seems to be much thicker than the same species as obtained from the sloughs. Locality I-2 is known to old time clambers as the Trenton Bed. While still fairly productive, no clambers were observed working it at this time. Juveniles were comparatively rare in this area.

AREA II.

Boundaries, Red Wing, Minn., to Bay City, Wis. Status, closed to fishery. Length in Linear Miles, 5.8. Physical Conditions, 7 ft. high water; current about 3 miles per hour; bottom mostly sand and mud; snags most abundant toward the Wisconsin shore. Water weed in lower stretches.

LOCALITIES REPORTED UPON. (ref. maps.)

- II—1. Starting point of drags 300 ft. upstream off Wisconsin shore from Govt. Day Mark 958-e, on edge of main channel about 40 ft. from shore. July 10, 1920.
- II—2. Starting point of drags 35 ft. from shore, about 1/4 mile downstream from Govt. Day Mark 958-d, opposite bathing houses and summer camp on Minn. shore. July 10, 1920.

II—4. Starting point of drags 100 ft. downstream from Govt. Day Mark 958-b, 45 ft. from Minnesota shore.

NOTES ON AREA.

Collecting in this area was best on the edge of the channel. It was extensively dammed some years ago. At present, there appear to be fewer shells of the *Quadrula* group than others. *Truncella triquetra* was first recorded from the upper region of the river at this point. Juveniles, especially of *Anodonta* and *Lampsilis* sp. are more abundant here than in the preceding area.

AREA II.

COMMERCIAL SPECIES*	Locality II-1	% in Catch	Locality II-2	% Total Catch	Locality II-4	% Total Catch	Average % Catch in 3 Localities
<i>Pisumonia ebena</i>	1	100	1	100	1	100	100
<i>Pisumonia cephalus</i>			47	23.5%	32 (4)	11.8%	17.7
<i>Asabera olivaria</i>			1	0.5%	3	1%	1.5%
<i>Quadrula postulosa</i>			1	0.5%	3	1%	1.5%
<i>Quadrula quadrula</i>			3	1.5%	3	1%	1.5%
<i>Quadrula mecenevra</i>							
<i>Stenidaria granifera</i>			8	4%	19	6.2%	1.3%
<i>Obolodonta reflexa</i>			2	1%			2.5%
<i>Truncella undata</i>					27	8.9%	3.1%
<i>Anodonta peruviana</i>	1	*			4	1.3%	*
<i>Stenidaria costata</i>					20	6.6%	2.8%
<i>Mesoborus heros</i>			1	*			*
<i>Quadrula verrucosa</i>					13	4.2%	5.1%
<i>Actinonais cuneata</i>			9	4.5%			
<i>Lampsilis bicinctus</i>	18	6.6%			1	0.3%	1.9%
<i>Lampsilis sublineata</i>			3	1.5%			
<i>Pisumonia truncata</i>	49 (5)	19.9%	54	27%	6	1.9%	16%
<i>Lampsilis ventricosus</i>			2	1%			
<i>Lampsilis antedonoides</i>					13	4.2%	1.7%
<i>Lampsilis fallaxiosa</i>	1 (1)	*	1	*			*
<i>Eurydia recta</i>							
<i>Eurydia subrostrata</i>					4	1.3%	*
<i>Pleurobema cyprius</i>					24	7.9%	9.9%
<i>Lacrymiona complanata</i>	1	*			6	1.9%	*
<i>Prostera alata</i>			2	1%			
<i>Lacrymiona costata</i>	58 (1)	24%					
<i>Arcidonta confragosus</i>					2	0.6%	*
<i>Elipidio niger</i>							
<i>Elipidio dilatatus</i>					2	0.6%	*
<i>Pleurobema pyramidalatum</i>							
<i>Alamidonia marginata</i>					1	0.3%	*
<i>Amvridonopsis doraciformis</i>							
TOTALS.....	134	47.5%	149	72%	195	60.3%	58.9%

NON-COMMERCIAL SPECIES*

<i>Anodonta grandis</i>	20	11.9%	17	8.5%	13	5.1%	5.7%
<i>Anodonta complanata</i>	35 (2)	12.4%	17	8.5%	16	5.1%	5.6%
<i>Asabera bipedalis</i>					13	4.3%	1.4%
<i>Streptopus edentulus</i>					8	2.7%	*
<i>Lepidota fragilis</i>	25 (1)	28%	14	7%	15	5%	13%
<i>Prostera laevissima</i>					36	11.9%	8.9%
<i>Carnuculina parva</i>							
<i>Truncella triquetra</i>	2	*			1	*	*
TOTALS.....	133	52.3%	48	24%	104	33.4%	33.4%
TOTALS ALL SHELLS.....	267	99.9%	197	96%	299	91.7%	92.2%

AREA III.

Boundaries, Bay City, Wis. to Maiden Rock, Wis., and Frontenac, Minn. Status, subject to fishery. Length in Linear Miles, 5.7. Physical Conditions, 7 ft. high water; current about 2 miles; bottom, gravel, mud, and some sand. Comparatively free from snags. Water weeds fairly common in upper stretches of area.

LOCALITIES REPORTED UPON. (ref. maps.)

III-1. Lake Pepin, starting point of drags 1500 ft. towards point with Light 957 from Wacouta Point, Minn., 100 ft. from shore, starting at a clump of low willows. July 14, 1920.

III-4. About 2 miles below Bay City on Wisconsin shore, starting at a clump of willows and poplars at lower end of promontory with an altitude of 680. Drags 75 ft. off shore July 15, 1920.

III-8. Drags from Point No-Point to Frontenac Point in a straight line between them, starting 300 ft. from shore, of first, and finishing 75 ft. from shore of second. July 16, 1920.

NOTES ON AREA.

In this section of Lake Pepin there exist clam beds known from their adjacent regions as the Maiden Rock and Warren-ton Beds. After poor success here, we learned from clam-mers, that while this was ordinarily a good locality, it was at this time covered with 6 in. of decaying vegetable matter brought in by the unusual rains of the summer, and this prevented the hooks from taking hold. Juveniles were somewhat less abundant than in the preceding area.

During the entire period of our collecting in Lake Pepin, and less noticeably so in the lower stretches of the river, there was observed on the surface of the lake, masses of algal plankton occurring in the form of dots, short rods and ten-drills. Messrs. H. W. Clark and R. S. Corwin of the Fairport Biological Station state additionally concerning it, "the alga is practically all *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae*. It is predominant in the plankton algae of the Upper Mississippi. It is abundant in Lake Pokegama also. There were one or two colonies of *Anabaena spiroides* and one *Difflugia cratera* in the mass examined."

The abundance of such plant food in Lake Pokegama and Lake Pepin may account in some degree for the abundance and excellent quality of the fat mussels found in those Lakes. Fresh water sponge was abundant on the Minnesota side of the lake.

AREA III.

COMMERCIAL SPECIES *	Locality III-1	% Total Catch in Locality	Locality III-4	% Total Catch in Locality	Locality III-8	% Total Catch in Locality	Average % Catch in 3 Localities
<i>Fasciolaria ebano</i>							
<i>Plectroberna canillus</i>							
<i>Glycymeris olivaria</i>	3	3.5%	5*	5.5%			3.3%
<i>Cardium postibosa</i>			2	2.6%			
<i>Cardium quadra</i>							
<i>Quadrula macroneya</i>			1	1.3%	5	5.3%	3%
<i>Pseudocardium graniferum</i>	2	2.4%	8	10.4%	14	14.9%	10.4%
<i>Cardium reflexa</i>	5	5.9%	3(1)	3.7%	11	11.7%	5.9%
<i>Fasciolaria undata</i>			3(1)	3.7%			3.1%
<i>Amblyema peruviana</i>	8	9.5%	3(1)	3.7%	8	8.2%	1.7%
<i>Amblyema costata</i>			4	5.2%			
<i>Megalomus heros</i>							
<i>Quadrula verrucosa</i>			2	2.6%			
<i>Actinonaias varipinata</i>			1	1.3%			
<i>Lamellis bignini</i>	32(1)	39.3%	5	6.5%	33(4)	39.5%	28.4%
<i>Lamellis siliquoides</i>							
<i>Laqueolaria lineolata</i>					1	1%	3.2%
<i>Amygdalopsis truncata</i>	3	3.5%	4	5.2%			
<i>Lamellis ventricosa</i>							
<i>Lamellis acobantoides</i>							
<i>Lamellis fallaciosa</i>	1	1.3%	1	1.3%			1%
<i>Parvula vesca</i>							
<i>Parvula subrostrata</i>							
<i>Parvula subrostrata</i>							
<i>Plectroberna cephalus</i>			2	2.6%	1	1%	1.2%
<i>Plectroberna complanata</i>							
<i>Lasmogona costata</i>	1	1.3%	13	16.9%	10	10.7%	11.2%
<i>Plectroberna alata</i>	5	5.9%					
<i>Aradens confusosus</i>							
<i>Milvula minor</i>			1	1.3%			
<i>Edithia dilatatus</i>	8	9.3%	3	3.7%	1	1%	1.3%
<i>Plectroberna pyramidalum</i>							
<i>Macamblyema maritimum</i>							
<i>Amygdalopsis dactyloformis</i>							
TOTALS.....	70	83%	67	86.5%	83	83.3%	81.7%

NON-COMMERCIAL SPECIES *	Locality III-1	% Total Catch in Locality	Locality III-4	% Total Catch in Locality	Locality III-8	% Total Catch in Locality	Average % Catch in 3 Localities
<i>Anacheta grandis</i>	9	10.5%	2	2.6%			4.1%
<i>Anacheta corpulenta</i>			6	7.8%	5	5.3%	5.9%
<i>Anacheta inobscuris</i>	2	2.4%			(1)	1%	1.2%
<i>Succinea acutulus</i>					2	2.1%	1.6%
<i>Lepidocera gracilis</i>			2	2.6%			
<i>Propeberna laevissima</i>							
<i>Parvula lineolata</i>	1	1.3%					
<i>Plectroberna grandis</i>							
TOTALS.....	14	17%	10	13%	16	16.5%	14.5%
TOTALS ALL SHELLS.....	84	83%	77	86.5%	99	83.8%	81.2%

AREA IV.

Boundaries, Maiden Rock, Wis., and Frontenac, Minn. to Lake City, Minn. and Stockholm, Wis. Status, closed to fishery. Length in Linear Miles, 6.7. Physical Conditions, 5 ft. high water; bottom varying from pebbles, to sand and mud; current 1 1/2 to 2 miles per hour. Patches of water weed abundant.

LOCALITIES REPORTED UPON. (ref. maps.)

- IV—9. Opposite Mrs. Coles estate, starting at point between brown barn and white house at 700 ft. elevation, 65 ft. from shore, proceeding toward bend. July 19, 1920.
- IV—11. Along Lake City Park front, starting at a poplar tree in front of fishermen's shanty, proceeding downstream toward bend in stone wall. 250 ft. off shore. July 20, 1920.
- IV—12. At Lake City, between Lake City Point Light and breakwater, starting 40 ft. off shore, from center of space between small house and ice-house. July 20, 1920.

NOTES ON AREA.

Clammers believe this area to be pretty well clammed out, although it contained more juvenile shells than previously encountered in other areas. This fact seems reasonably due to the propagation experiments which the Bureau of Fisheries has been conducting in the region for several years. This area really produces the larger number of juveniles than any other considered, but as adult shells from these localities are in the great minority, the juveniles are not represented in the check localities given. All data submitted for juveniles is from the standpoint of their frequency in clam beds, whereas below Lake Pepin they were found to be most abundant on the sand bars. The juveniles were mostly *L. siliguoidea*. The water weeds encountered were *Vallisneria spiralis*, *Ceratophyllum demersum*, and various species of *Potamogeton*. The party gained the impression that the abundance of juveniles in an area was related to the abundance of the water weed. Species of fresh water snails, and a crayfish collected were determined by Dr. A. E. Ortman to be *Campaloma subso-*

luci (Anthony) species of *Goniobasis* and *Pleurocera*, while the crayfish was *Cambarus (Farous) vivitis*, Hagen.

AREA IV.

COMMERCIAL SPECIES*	Locality IV-9	% Total Catch	Locality IV-11	% Total Catch	Locality IV-12	% Total Catch	Average % Catch in 3 Localities
<i>Pleurocera ebena</i>							
<i>Pleurocera cupulus</i>							
<i>Pleurocera olivaria</i>							
<i>Pleurocera pastulosa</i>	1	*	(1)	*	1	*	1%
<i>Goniobasis quadrala</i>			3	1.5%	3	1.9%	
<i>Goniobasis melanovra</i>			1	*	1	*	
<i>Pleurocera granifera</i>							
<i>Pleurocera reflexa</i>							
<i>Pleurocera undata</i>	3	1.3%	2	*	1	*	
<i>Amphibola peruviana</i>	82	14.4%	37	24.5%	19	8%	15.6%
<i>Amphibola costata</i>	86	16.2%	17	7.3%	37	11.6%	11.7%
<i>Amphibola heros</i>							
<i>Amphibola verrucosa</i>							
<i>Amphibola carinata</i>							
<i>Amphibola bisignata</i>	1	*					
<i>Amphibola siliquifolia</i>	88 (1)	40%	91	37%	102 (5)	46.4%	41.1%
<i>Amphibola truncata</i>	3	1.3%	2	1%			
<i>Amphibola ventricosa</i>	5	2.2%	17	7.3%	19	8.1%	5.8%
<i>Amphibola foveoloides</i>							
<i>Amphibola fallaxiosa</i>	2	*					
<i>Amphibola recta</i>	4	1.8%	2	1%	4	1.7%	1.5%
<i>Amphibola subrosea</i>							
<i>Amphibola cyprinus</i>							
<i>Amphibola campanata</i>	1	*	1	*	3	1.2%	1%
<i>Amphibola castata</i>			(1)	*			
<i>Pleurocera alata</i>	11	4.9%	12	5.1%	7	3%	4.3%
<i>Amphibola confusosus</i>							
<i>Pleurocera nizer</i>							
<i>Pleurocera dilatatus</i>	3	1.3%	6	2.6%	16	6.9%	3.6%
<i>Amphibola pyramidalium</i>							
<i>Amphibola marginata</i>							
<i>Amphibola demaciformis</i>	1 (2)	1.8%	2	*	3	1.2%	1%
TOTALS.....	105	85.2%	216	87%	211	90%	86.6%

NON-COMMERCIAL SPECIES*

<i>Amphibola grandis</i>	8	3.6%	5	2.1%	9	3.8%	3.2%
<i>Amphibola complanata</i>	13	5.8%	(1)	*	(6)	2.6%	2.8%
<i>Amphibola edentatus</i>	4	1.8%	6	2.6%	8 (1)	1.7%	2.2%
<i>Pleurocera fragilis</i>			1	*			
<i>Pleurocera levissima</i>			2	1%			
<i>Amphibola parva</i>	1	*	1	*	2	*	*
<i>Amphibola planata</i>							
TOTALS.....	36	11.2%	17	5.9%	22	8%	8%
TOTALS ALL SHELLS.....	231	96.4%	233	92.7%	233	98%	94.6%

AREA V.

Boundaries, Lake City, Minn. and Stockholm, Wis. to Pepin, Wis. Status, subject to fishery. Length in Linear Mile, 5.3. Physical Conditions, 5 ft. high water during survey; current two miles per hour; bottom mud and sand with a few pebbles; occasional patches of water weed.

LOCALITIES REPORTED UPON. (ref. maps.)

V—5. Off Lake City shore near hospital, starting opposite first tree on bank north of hospital, 120 ft. from shore. July 23, 1920.

V—6. Below Camp Grounds, (southeast of Lake City), starting at point adjacent to 900 elevation, (Asplund's farm), at distance 15 ft. from shore. July 24, 1920. Minnesota shore.

V—10. About 1¼ miles from Deer Lake, (Wisconsin shore), and ¼ mile from pier at Pepin, starting point at nearest house on Wisconsin shore with 2 outbuildings in the rear. 600 ft. off shore.

NOTES ON AREA.

Compared with adults, juveniles were more abundant here than in any other area. This area was the one most worked in Lake Pepin during the summer of 1920, and some valuable pearls had their origin here. While fresh water sponges had been encountered from the beginning of the trip, such were more abundant in Lake Pepin than elsewhere. Specimens collected and forwarded to Professor Frank Smith, University of Illinois, were identified as *Spongilla fragilis*, Loidy.

AREA V.

COMMERCIAL SPECIES*	Locality V-5		Locality V-6		Locality V-10		Average % Catch
	% Total Catch	Locality	% Total Catch	Locality	% Total Catch	Locality	
<i>Fusconia ebena</i>		15	3.7%	6	2.4%	*	*
<i>Pleurobema caribae</i>							*
<i>Obovata obtusata</i>							1.1%
<i>Quadrula posticosa</i>		3	*	2	*	*	*
<i>Quadrula quadrata</i>		1	*	1	*	*	*
<i>Quadrula metanovata</i>							*
<i>Reunonaria granifera</i>		7	1.7%	1	*	*	1%
<i>Obolvaria reflexa</i>		4	1.1%				19.6%
<i>Fusconia undata</i>	40 (0)	73 (2)	18.7%	85 (3)	23.2%		7.8%
<i>Amblema peruviana</i>	30 (1)	2 (4)	1.5%	21	8.4%		4.9%
<i>Amblema costata</i>		39	14.1%				*
<i>Microbema heros</i>							*
<i>Quadrula verrucosa</i>	1	*	*				*
<i>Actinonaria carinata</i>							81.2%
<i>Lamassilis bicinctus</i>							1%
<i>Lamassilis siliquoides</i>	104 (20)	43.4%	108 (13)	30.3%	69 (4)	29%	12.3%
<i>Placida lineolata</i>	2	*	1.5%	2	*	*	*
<i>Amphitelasma truncata</i>	20 (3)	8%	44 (6)	10.7%	45 (5)	18%	1.5%
<i>Lamassilis verticosa</i>							*
<i>Lamassilis ardentoides</i>							*
<i>Lamassilis fallaciosa</i>							1.5%
<i>Lamassilis recta</i>	3	1%	8	2%	2	*	*
<i>Surirena subaerata</i>	1	*	*				*
<i>Pachidactylus cybistus</i>							1%
<i>Pachidactylus complanata</i>	5	1.7%	1	*	2	*	1%
<i>Lasmisirona costata</i>							2.3%
<i>Proclera alata</i>	5	1.7	11	2.7%	10	4%	*
<i>Arvidens contrageosus</i>							2.3%
<i>Elipido piper</i>							4%
<i>Siliolite dilatatus</i>	18	6.3%	20	5%	10 (1)	4.4%	5.2%
<i>Pleurobema pyramidalium</i>							*
<i>Alamitona marginata</i>	3	1%	2	*			*
<i>Amvendobarais demeriformis</i>							*
TOTALS.....	272	63.4%	386	92.4%	241	89.4%	89.8%

NON-COMMERCIAL SPECIES*							
	Locality V-5		Locality V-6		Locality V-10		Average % Catch
<i>Amblema grande</i>	3	1.7%	4	1%	2	*	1.2%
<i>Amblema complanata</i>	1	*	1	*	(1)	*	*
<i>Amblema imbecillis</i>							*
<i>Stropharia edentulus</i>	4	1.4%	5	1.5%	3	1.2%	1%
<i>Leptodea fragilis</i>							*
<i>Peptera laevissima</i>							*
<i>Carunculina parva</i>							*
<i>Truncula triquetra</i>							*
TOTALS.....	16	3.1%	11	2.5%	6	1.2%	2.9%
TOTALS ALL SHELLS.....	282	96.5%	397	94.9%	247	90.6%	92.7%

AREA VI.

Boundaries, Pepin and King's Coulee to Read's Landing, Minn. Status, closed to fisheries. Length in linear miles, 4. Physical conditions, 4 ft. high water; current about 2 miles. Bottom, mud and sand, with a great deal of water weed on the Wisconsin side. Cobles, gravel, and riff-raft on the Minnesota shore.

LOCALITIES REPORTED UPON. (ref. maps.)

VI-3. Starting point, 700 ft. north of point marked 682.09 and 673.12 on map, at foot of Lake Pepin, 500 ft. off shore. July 27, 1920.

VI-5. Starting point 1,000 ft. southwest C. M. & St. P. R. R. trestle at culvert opposite Knud Johnston's Coulee, (1760 elevation), 150 ft. from shore. July 28, 1920.

VI-6. One mile upstream from bridge at Read's Landing, 800 ft. s. w. of C. M. & St. P. R. R. at base of stone quarry, 700 ft. from Minnesota shore. July 28, 1920.

NOTES ON AREA.

Next to Area V, the juveniles found were most abundant here. Locality 6 in this area represents a re-juvenating bed at the base of Lake Pepin, dammed out years ago, and at that time producing many niggerheads. The only living specimen of *R. granifera* taken in the lake was secured at this place, although previously large numbers of dead shells were seen. At this point there was collected for the first time, *Platrobena Poyramidatum*. Both localities 5 and 6 had comparatively few old shells in them. Locality 3 is shunned by clammers on account of the rocky bottom at this place, yet certain clammers who know the region are able to make good hauls here. Juveniles were fairly abundant. Specimens of *Plagiola lineolata* were particularly large. Fresh water sponges, and Bryozoa of species to be later given were also obtained here.

AREA VI.

COMMERCIAL SPECIES *	Locality VI-3	% in Locality	Locality VI-5	% Total Catch	Locality VI-6	% Total Catch	Average % Catch in 3 Localities
<i>Amatis ebena</i>					18	3.6%	1.2%
<i>Amatis caeilus</i>					7(1)	1.8%	1.8%
<i>Amatis olivaria</i>					13	2.9%	1%
<i>Amatis postulosa</i>			2(3)	*	3	1.1%	*
<i>Amatis quadrata</i>	3	2%		*			*
<i>Amatis mactanera</i>	1	*	1	*	23	5.2%	2%
<i>Amatis granifera</i>					1		1%
<i>Amatis reflexa</i>			2		8	1.8%	1%
<i>Amatis undata</i>	6(1)	4%	138	28.9%	22	5%	12.5%
<i>Amatis purpurata</i>	5(2)	4.8%	51(1)	10.9%	66	15%	10.8%
<i>Amatis costata</i>							
<i>Amatis heros</i>							
<i>Amatis verrucosa</i>							
<i>Amatis catenata</i>							
<i>Amatis hispidus</i>							
<i>Amatis subopaca</i>	33(4)	25.5%	92(9)	21.2%	53(3)	12.8%	19.5%
<i>Amatis lineolata</i>			3		9	2%	1%
<i>Amatis ventricosa</i>			5(3)	1.6%	16(3)	4.3%	1.9%
<i>Amatis anodonoides</i>	33(5)	40%	26(5)	6.5%	31(6)	8.5%	18.3%
<i>Amatis fibulosa</i>							*
<i>Amatis recurva</i>	8	5.5%	9	1.8%	2	*	3.2%
<i>Amatis subrestrata</i>							
<i>Amatis cyathus</i>	4	2.9%	4	*		*	1.4%
<i>Amatis complanata</i>							
<i>Amatis costata</i>	4	2.7%	21	4.4%	21	4.8%	3.9%
<i>Amatis contrageosus</i>					1	*	*
<i>Amatis niger</i>							
<i>Amatis dilatatus</i>	5	3.4%	74	18.5%	75	17.2%	13%
<i>Amatis pyramidalium</i>	2	*					
<i>Amatis marginata</i>							
<i>Amatis donaciformis</i>					3	*	*
TOTALS.....	122	99.6%	449	90.8%	391	88%	88.8%

NON-COMMERCIAL SPECIES *

<i>Amatis grandis</i>	6	4.1%			7	5.6%	3.3%
<i>Amatis corpulenta</i>	(1)	*	10	2.1%			1%
<i>Amatis edentulus</i>	1	*			3	1.1%	*
<i>Amatis fragilis</i>	1(1)	1.5%	5(2)	1.4%	21(1)	5%	2.6%
<i>Amatis laevissima</i>							
<i>Amatis parva</i>							
<i>Amatis thauetra</i>							
TOTALS.....	10	5.4%	17	3.9%	34	11.7%	6.7%
TOTALS ALL SHELLS.....	142	96%	466	94.3%	425	97.7%	95.5%

AREA VII.

Boundaries, Read's Landing, Minn., to Minneiska, Minn. Status, open to fishery. Length in Linear Miles, 20.9. Physical conditions, 5 ft. high water; current 4-6 miles per hour. Bottom, sandy, with many bedded snags, especially in upper portion.

LOCALITIES REPORTED UPON. (ref. maps.)

VII-4. 320 ft. upstream from Government Light 946, along water front at Wabasha, 49 ft. from shore. July 31, 1920.

VII-6. Teepecota Point, 350 ft. west of willows at the point, 150 ft. from shore line. July 31, 1920.

VII-14. Off Alma, Wis., starting 50 ft. north of R. E. Jones' Mill, 40 ft. from shore. August 3, 1920.

NOTES ON AREA.

Few juveniles were to be seen in this area. On the site of an old shipyard at Wabasha, remnants of a clam bed with some young shells were found. The bed is hindered in regeneration by the amount of rubbish, iron wire, etc., dumped in the locality. The same conditions hold for locality VII-4. Further down at Teepecota point was a remnant, composed of gigantic shells of an old clam bed, in which juveniles were totally absent. The bottom in this locality seemed well suited to mussel growth, there being no rubbish, few if any snags. The current has probably carried away the juveniles. Much effort was expended in this and other areas to locate clam beds by the method already described, but most of the productive ones have been covered up. There are few if any water weeds in this area except in the sloughs.

In the vicinity of Alma, (Govt. Light 926 and the R. E. Jones Mill), other remnants of beds exist, composed of giant "niggerheads" and "warty backs." Only a slight idea could be gained of these latter, as the bottom was so snaggy that it was unprofitable to attempt work with the crowfoot bars. Formerly this bed was worked with a pitch fork. At the lower limits of this area shells were more abundant, and were more easily secured from sand bars than any place else. The upper portion of West Newton Chute was very prolific in this respect. Species of snails and crayfish as recorded previously were common here.

AREA VII.

COMMERCIAL SPECIES *	Locality VII		Locality VIII		Locality VIII		Locality VIII		Average % Catch	
	In	Total	In	Total	In	Total	In	Total	In 2	Catch
<i>Streblospio benedicti</i>	10	6.3%	8	2.1%	1	0.3%	2	0.7%	21.3%	2.3%
<i>Streblospio caudatus</i>	10	6.3%	8	2.1%	1	0.3%	2	0.7%	4.9%	4.9%
<i>Streblospio olivaria</i>	7	4.4%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	5	1.8%	19.8%	9.7%
<i>Streblospio punctata</i>	1	0.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	7	2.6%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio quadrata</i>	20	12.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio mesoleuca</i>	1	0.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio erantifera</i>	1	0.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio reflexa</i>	11	6.9%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio unguata</i>	11	6.9%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio peruviana</i>	17	10.7%	3	1.0%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio costata</i>	17	10.7%	3	1.0%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio heros</i>	5	3.1%	6	2.2%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio verrucosa</i>	33	20%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio bicincta</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio subrotunda</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio lineolata</i>	14	8.8%	45	32.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio truncata</i>	14	8.8%	45	32.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio ventricosa</i>	1	0.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio apodotomoides</i>	1	0.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio fallaciosus</i>	20	12.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio recta</i>	20	12.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio subrostrata</i>	20	12.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio tuberosus</i>	20	12.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio cyphus</i>	20	12.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio complanata</i>	20	12.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio costata</i>	20	12.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio alata</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio contragocinus</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio niger</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio dilatatus</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio pyramidalium</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio marginata</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio donaciformis</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
<i>Streblospio trilineata</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	13.2%	13.2%
TOTALS.....	133	94%	103	69.8%	26	16.2%	26	16.2%	98.5%	98.5%

NON-COMMERCIAL SPECIES *

<i>Aradonia stardis</i>	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%
<i>Aradonia corpulenta</i>	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%
<i>Aradonia imbecillis</i>	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%
<i>Streblospio eotulus</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%
<i>Leptodea fragilis</i>	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%
<i>Pontoporeia laevissima</i>	2	1.2%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%
<i>Carunculina farua</i>	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%
<i>Truncella trimetra</i>	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%	1	0.6%
TOTALS.....	5	2.8%	5	3.3%	5	3.3%	5	3.3%	5	3.3%
TOTALS ALL SHELLS.....	138	96.8%	111	73.5%	26	16.2%	26	16.2%	99.8%	99.8%

AREA VIII.

Boundaries, Minneiska, Minn. to Fountain City, Wis. Status, closed to fishery. Length in Linear Miles, 10.8. Physical Conditions, 2 ft. high water; current about 2 miles per hour. Bottom, sand, mud, cobbles, mud, drift, and infested with snags.

LOCALITIES REPORTED UPON. (ref. maps.)

VIII-1. Starting at Govt. Light 902.35 ft. off shore, south of island 4. August 11, 1920.

VIII-10. One-half mile around the bend from Govt. Light 896.35 ft. off shore, starting point adjacent to coulee south of Chimney Rock. August 11, 1920.

VIII-19. Straight Slough, 100 ft. south of the north of the first large slough leading from it, (at upper end) to the C. M. & St. P. R. R. tracks from 655 elevation; on opposite shore at distance 20 ft. from banks. August 16, 1920.

NOTES ON AREA.

This area stands third in the abundance of juveniles found. Remnants of a bed were found along the water front at Minneiska. This is given in locality VIII-1. Locality VIII-10 represents the old Chimney Rock Bed. Juveniles secured in this and succeeding areas were obtained from sand bars principally by hand.

In this area, there were frequently collected upon the sand bars crayfish which Dr. A. E. Ortman of the Carnegie Museum, Pittsburgh, Pa., pronounced to be *Cambarus (Eurobas) virilis* Hagen, (males of the second form,) and *Cambarus blandingii acutus* Gerard, (males of the second forms).

As early as the latter part of July there was noticed a brilliant bluish-green scum upon the shore of the sloughs and sand bars. Some of the material was sent to Dr. G. T. Moore, director of the Missouri Botanical Garden for identification. Dr. Moore stated it "was a mixture of 3 blue-green algae, the major portion being *Clathrocystis serrigensa*, with occasional colonies of *Coelosphaerium kuetzingianum*, as well as occasional colonies of *Arabaena flos-aquae*. This mixture is a very common one and has been associated for a number of years with the phenomenon known abroad as the "breaking of the meres." In this country it is generally referred to as the "flowering of the waters."

Dr. C. B. Davenport, of the Canada Station for Experimental Evolution, Cold Spring Harbor, N. Y., identified specimens of *Bryozoa* forwarded him as *Fedtiatella magnifica*. These were collected from Fountain City Bay, Fountain

City, Wis. He indicates that the following additional species may be expected to occur in the Mississippi also, viz. *Umatella gracilis*, *Puldicella ehrenbergii*, *Fredericella sulfana*, *Cristatella nocoedo* and various species of *Plumatella*. Some of these species are known from the Illinois River, and on account of the resistance which the statoblasts have to the digestive fluids of birds, have become widely distributed over the whole country east of the Rocky Mountains.

AREA VIII.

COMMERCIAL SPECIES *	Locality VIII-1		Locality VIII-10		Locality VIII-19		In Total Catch	In Locality	Average % Catch in 4 Localities
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%			
<i>Fusconia ebena</i>	3	21.4%							7.1%
<i>Plumatella ciliifera</i>	2	14.3%							4.7%
<i>Chovardia olivaria</i>					3(1)	4.2%			1.4%
<i>Quadrula postolosa</i>			1	8.3%					2.8%
<i>Quadrula quadrata</i>									
<i>Quadrula metanetra</i>	1	7.1%							2.3%
<i>Stomataria granifera</i>			1	8.3%					2.8%
<i>Obolusaria rotunda</i>			4	36%					12.0%
<i>Fusconia rotunda</i>			1	8.3%					2.8%
<i>Fusconia undata</i>	2	14.2%			10	10.5%			11.7%
<i>Amblema peruviana</i>	2	14.2%							4.7%
<i>Amblema costata</i>			1	8.3%					2.8%
<i>Nesobornais heros</i>									
<i>Quadrula verrucosa</i>	1	7.1%							2.3%
<i>Aedonopsis carinata</i>									
<i>Lamassilis bicarinata</i>					1(10)	11.5%			3.8%
<i>Lamassilis silicoides</i>									
<i>Placida lineolata</i>									
<i>Amphibolus truncata</i>			1	8.3%					2.8%
<i>Amphibolus ventricosa</i>									
<i>Amphibolus apiculatoides</i>									
<i>Amphibolus fallax</i>									
<i>Amphibolus rotunda</i>									
<i>Amphibolus substriata</i>	1	7.1%	1	8.3%					5.1%
<i>Platystrogon eurybryos</i>									
<i>Lamassonia complanata</i>			1	8.3%					2.7%
<i>Lamassonia costata</i>									
<i>Procladia alata</i>									
<i>Aedonopsis confusosus</i>									
<i>Elphidium niger</i>									
<i>Elphidium dilatatum</i>									
<i>Platystrogonia pyramidalatum</i>									
<i>Alasmidion maritimum</i>									
<i>Amphibolus bicarinata</i>									
TOTALS	12	83.4%	12	100%	84	87.8%			90.1%

NON-COMMERCIAL SPECIES *

<i>Umatella grandis</i>									
<i>Umatella complanata</i>	2	14.2%			1	1%			5%
<i>Umatella quadrata</i>									
<i>Umatella gracilis</i>									
<i>Umatella sulfana</i>					5(3)	8.4%			8%
<i>Fredericella sulfana</i>					1	1%			
<i>Cristatella nocoedo</i>									
<i>Plumatella ciliifera</i>									
TOTALS	2	14.2%	12	100%	11	11.3%			8%
TOTALS ALL SHELLS	14	99.6%	12	100%	95	89.2%			98.1%

AREA IX.

Boundaries, Fountain City, Wis. to Winona, Minn. (High Bridge). Status, open to fishery. Length Linear Miles, 8.6. Physical Conditions, 2 ft. high water; current 4 miles. Bottom, gravel and mud, with less amount of riff-raff than in preceding areas.

LOCALITIES REPORTED UPON. (ref. maps.)

IX—2. South end of Island 62, across the mouth of a slough, starting 20 ft. from nearest shore. Aug. 18, 1920.

IX—22. Straight Slough, about 3 miles from mouth, across the mouth of the first slough above island with 655 elevation. 25 ft. from north shore. Aug. 21, 1920.

IX—31. 800 ft. northwest of the N. W. R. R. bridge at Winona, 35 ft. from sheer boom. Aug. 23, 1920.

NOTES ON AREA.

An old bed of "niggerheads" existing at Wild's Landing was found to be absolutely covered with sand deflected by the dams. No trace of a bed said to exist in the vicinity of Island 55 was found. The best collecting in this area was from the sandbars, and in the lower portion of the area we frequently encountered very large specimen of *Anodonta grandis*. Many stranded pocketbooks, (*Lampsilis ventricosa*) were found cut open for pearls, presumably by the foreign element of the population of Winona.

Locality IX-22 is in the upper portion of Straight Slough near Winona. At the present time it is a flourishing bed, indicating that conditions are favorable here for mussel growth. Fresh water snails, (*Planorbis acuta*, Raf.) and a form of *Sphaerium stamineum* Conrad, as determined by Dr. Bryant Walker, were abundant here.

AREA IX.

COMMERCIAL SPECIES *	Locality IX-2	% Total Catch In Locality.	Locality IX-22	% Total Catch In Locality.	Locality IX-31	% Total Catch In Locality.	Average % Catch In 3 Localities
<i>Anodonta chana</i>			15	28.5%	1	3.4%	13%
<i>Anodonta castillus</i>					2	1%	
<i>Anodonta olivaria</i>	1	3.5%	2	3.8%	13	6.3%	4.5%
<i>Anodonta posidonia</i>			1	1.9%	8	3.9%	1.9%
<i>Anodonta quadrata</i>			7	13.3%	49	23.8%	12.5%
<i>Anodonta menaveya</i>					1	*	*
<i>Anodonta granifera</i>					26	12.7%	9.2%
<i>Anodonta repova</i>			6	11.4%	8	1.4%	1.4%
<i>Anodonta undata</i>	1	3.5%	2	3.8%			
<i>Anodonta peruviana</i>					4	1.9%	*
<i>Anodonta costata</i>					7	3.4%	1%
<i>Anodonta heros</i>					4	1.9%	*
<i>Anodonta ventricosa</i>					7	3.4%	1%
<i>Anodonta carinata</i>							
<i>Lampsilis higinzi</i>							
<i>Lampsilis siliquoides</i>	3	10.7%			4	2%	3.5%
<i>Lampsilis lineolata</i>			3	5.7%	3	1.4%	2%
<i>Anodonta truncata</i>	3(5)	28%	4	7.6%	18	8%	14.6%
<i>Lampsilis ventricosa</i>							8%
<i>Lampsilis anodontoides</i>					14	6.8%	4.2%
<i>Lampsilis fallaciosa</i>	7	24.5%	3	5.7%			
<i>Lampsilis recta</i>			2	5.8%	8	3.9%	3.1%
<i>Lampsilis subrostrata</i>							1%
<i>Lampsilis eximius</i>							
<i>Lampsilis complanata</i>	1	3.5%					
<i>Lampsilis costata</i>					1	*	*
<i>Lampsilis alata</i>							
<i>Lampsilis confragosus</i>							
<i>Lampsilis alger</i>					7	3.4%	1.9%
<i>Lampsilis dilatatus</i>			1	1.9%			*
<i>Lampsilis pyramidalum</i>					3	1.4%	1.2%
<i>Lampsilis pyramida</i>					3	1.4%	1.2%
<i>Anodonta demadiformis</i>	1	3.5%					
TOTALS.....	22	77.2%	46	87.4%	150	86.1%	81.5%

NON-COMMERCIAL SPECIES *

<i>Anodonta grandis</i>					1	1.3%	1.3%
<i>Anodonta complanata</i>	1	3.5%					1%
<i>Anodonta imbecillis</i>	1	3.5%			15	7.4%	5%
<i>Sphaerium edentulus</i>	1	3.5%	2	3.8%			6.7%
<i>Sphaerium fragilis</i>	3	10.7%	3	5.7%			
<i>Planorbis baylessiana</i>							
<i>Planorbis parva</i>							
<i>Truncatella uniolepis</i>							
TOTALS.....	6	21.2%	5	9.5%	24	11.3%	13.7%
TOTALS ALL SHELLS.....	28	98.4%	51	96.9%	204	97.4%	95.2%

AREA X.

Boundaries, Winona, Minn. (high bridge) to La Moille, Minn. Status, closed to fishery. Length in Linear Miles, 7.2. Physical Conditions, 2 ft. high water; current 4 miles per hour; bottom, sand and gravel; many snags.

LOCALITIES REPORTED UPON. (ref. maps.)

X-4. Sheer boom, 450 ft. north of Burlington bridge at Winona; drags 15-25 ft. from edge off Minnesota shore. August 24, 1920.

X-6. Above Homer, starting opposite 700 ft. elevation and dragging down to point with 655 elevation; 25 ft. off Minnesota shore. August 25, 1920.

X-9. Starting at point adjacent to Homer at 680 elevation, 25 ft. from shore. August 25, 1920.

NOTES ON AREA.

Locality X-4 represents what appears to be a thriving and previously unworked bed of shells, extending beneath the Burlington bridge at Winona. While shells are fairly common at Homer Station, riff-raff on the bottom made their collection somewhat difficult. In the vicinity of Govt. Day Mark 851-a (840-86 elevation) the party planted 150 pigtoes, (*F. undata*), and 100 three ridges, (*A. peruviana*) in hopes of ultimately starting a bed there. Fresh water sponges and crayfishes of the identification already given were also collected at Homer.

AREA X.

COMMERCIAL SPECIES *	Locality X-4		Locality X-6		Locality X-9		Average % Catch	
	In Locality	% Total Catch	In Locality	% Total Catch	In Locality	% Total Catch	In Locality	% Total Catch
<i>Pisconella ebena</i>	7	7.9%	1	4.9%	7	5.4%	6.1%	5.1%
<i>Pleurobema catillus</i>	2	1.4%	2	1.4%	2	1.5%	1.5%	1%
<i>Pleurobema olivaria</i>	28	28.9%	9	6.3%	41	31%	21.8%	21.8%
<i>Quadrula postulosa</i>	8	9%	3	2%	7	5%	5.3%	5.3%
<i>Quadrula quadrula</i>								
<i>Quadrula metanevra</i>	4	4.5%	25	17.5%	15(2)	13.5%	12%	12%
<i>Ranidaria spirifer</i>								
<i>Ranidaria undata</i>	2	2.2%	4	2.8%	6(1)	5.4%	3.6%	3.6%
<i>Ranidaria undata</i>	12	13.5%	9(1)	7.4%	6	4.6%	8.5%	8.5%
<i>Arctiema peruviana</i>	3	3.4%	4(1)	3.1%	1	1%	2.8%	2.8%
<i>Arctiema costata</i>			2	1.4%				
<i>Macdonalis heros</i>			8	5.9%	2	1.5%	3.2%	3.2%
<i>Macdonalis verrucosa</i>	2	2.2%	3	2.3%	1	0.8%	1.8%	1.8%
<i>Urosalpinx carinata</i>	4	4.5%	3	2.3%	1	0.8%	3.9%	3.9%
<i>Urosalpinx bicarinata</i>								
<i>Lamprellis siliquoides</i>	1	1.1%	1	0.7%	2	1.5%	1%	1%
<i>Lamprellis lineolata</i>			5(2)	3.6%				
<i>Lamprellis undata</i>			15(1)	11.8%	16	12.4%	13.9%	13.9%
<i>Lamprellis undata</i>			1	0.7%				
<i>Lamprellis apiculatoides</i>			5(1)	3.6%	5	3.8%	2.7%	2.7%
<i>Lamprellis fallaxiosa</i>								
<i>Lamprellis regia</i>			3	2.1%	1	0.8%		
<i>Lamprellis subrostrata</i>								
<i>Pachybasus cyprinus</i>			1	0.7%				
<i>Pachybasus costata</i>	5	5.6%						
<i>Lamprozona complanata</i>								
<i>Pleurobema alata</i>								
<i>Arctiema confragosus</i>	3	4%	3	2.3%			2.6%	2.6%
<i>Elliptio dilatatus</i>	1	1.1%	4	2.8%	1	0.8%	1.8%	1.8%
<i>Pleurobema pyramidalium</i>								
<i>Macdonalis marginata</i>			1(1)	0.7%				
<i>Arctiema costata</i>								
TOTALS	79	87.2%	128	90%	121	91.8%	88.3%	88.3%

NON-COMMERCIAL SPECIES *

<i>Arctiema grandis</i>								
<i>Arctiema carolinense</i>			2	1.4%	1	0.8%	1.2%	1.2%
<i>Arctiema imbecillus</i>								
<i>Synalipis edentulus</i>			6	4.2%	2	1.5%	1.7%	1.7%
<i>Arctiema frigidis</i>			9	10.1%			4.6%	4.6%
<i>Pleurobema levissimum</i>			(1)	0.7%				
<i>Arctiema parva</i>								
<i>Lamprellis lineolata</i>			1(1)	0.7%				
TOTALS	9	10%	14	8.4%	5	3.8%	5.3%	5.3%
TOTALS ALL SHELLS	88	97.2%	142	98.4%	126	98.3%	93.6%	93.6%

From the data presented under the title of each area, the following tabulation is made, dealing with the absolute abundance of shells in the areas appraised:

Area and Status as to Fishery	No. Shells Commercial Species per Linear Mile	No. Shells Non-Commercial Species per Linear Mile	Average All Shells per Linear Mile
I. Open	197.1	66	263.1
II. Closed	84.1	47.9	131.5
III. Open	39	5.61	44.61
IV. Closed	92	10.3	102.3
V. Open	166.8	7	173.8
VI. Closed	243.25	15.5	258.75
VII. Open	14.5 (16.13)	1 (6.55)	15.5 (22.68)
VIII. Closed	10. (43)	1.2 (2.8)	11.2 (45.8)
IX. Open	28.24 (54)	4.76 (7.6)	33. (61.6)
X. Closed	45.3 (62.91)	4 (8.3)	49.3 (71.24)

In the preliminary report of this survey, (p. 2) the data given on the absolute abundance of shells in Areas VII-X inclusive, was based in large part on collections of shells by hand from sand bars, as the latter embraced the larger number of best localities in the areas indicated. As it would be difficult to use such data in the future as a basis of comparison and rechecking, there has been substituted in this final report data obtained from other localities in these areas by the use of the bar and crowfoot outfit. Since it is felt that, due to the conditions described, that the latter represents the true absolute abundance of shells in the areas, there are added in parentheses to the above the results obtained from collecting by hand on the 3 best sandbars of these areas. For each of the 3 localities taken in account for each area, the data thus presented embodies the efforts of 3 men collecting by hand 30 minutes, a total consumption of 90 minutes time, and about that required to make 3 drags with the bars in any given locality. The results from the sandbars may be interpreted to represent the maximum of shells in the particular area.

VII. REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

The tables given indicate that commercial species of mussels in the areas appraised are most abundant in the vicinity

of Red Wing, Minn., and in Lake Pepin. There is a decline in the number of the mussels in succeeding stretches of the river until the lower half of Lake Pepin is reached. Upon leaving the lake, a most marked diminution in their numbers occurs but on the other hand the absolute number of shells increases going down stream, showing that the necessary conditions for mussel life are present, and, on the whole, steadily improving. When it appears practicable to attempt the rehabilitation of the mussel beds below Lake Pepin, the following recommendations based upon the preceding information may receive consideration, viz:

1. Restoring old, but favorably situated beds in closed areas with advanced juveniles of commercial species. Such beds are those existing at the foot of Lake Pepin, Wabasha, Teepeeota Point, and those at Winona and Homer, Minn. If judged by the abundance of juveniles collected, the areas to be stocked in order are VI, VIII, IV.

2. Restocking and propagation of desirable species in certain sloughs, both in open and closed areas, as the young shells in the former case would be protected by the prevailing sediment among mussel fishermen toward them. Sloughs offering some promise in this respect are the Belvedere below Minneiska, West Newton Chute near Alma, and the Straight Slough near Winona.

Corrections in Botanical Nomenclature.

OLIVER ATKINS FARWELL.

Dilepyrum erectum (Schreb.) N. comb. *Muhlenbergia erecta* Schreb., Besch. Gras. II 139. pl. 50 (1772-9). *Dilepyrum cristosum* Mx., Fl. Bor. Amer. 1:40 (1803).

Mr. A. S. Hitchcock, in The Genera of Grasses of the United States, (U. S. Dept. Agriculture Bulletin No. 772) p. 145, (1920) places the genus *Dilepyrum* Mx. as a synonym of *Muhlenbergia* Schreb. and remarks that either of Michx's species are equally eligible as the type and that the second

